

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF
SOUTH CAROLINA**

DOCKET NO. 2018-319-E

In the Matter of:)	
)	
)	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
)	STEVEN D. CAPPS
Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC)	FOR DUKE ENERGY
for Adjustments in Electric Rate Schedules)	CAROLINAS, LLC
and Tariffs)	

I. INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

A. My name is Steven D. Capps and my business address is 526 South Church Street, Charlotte, North Carolina.

Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

A. I am Senior Vice President of Nuclear Operations for Duke Energy Corporation ("Duke Energy"), with direct executive accountability for Duke Energy's South Carolina nuclear plants, including Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's ("DE Carolinas" or the "Company") Catawba Nuclear Station ("Catawba") in York County, South Carolina, the Oconee Nuclear Station ("Oconee") in Oconee County, South Carolina, and Duke Energy Progress, LLC's ("DE Progress") Robinson Nuclear Plant ("Robinson"), located in Darlington County, South Carolina. I am responsible for providing oversight for the safe and reliable operation of these nuclear plants. I am also involved in the operations of Duke Energy's other nuclear stations, including DE Carolinas McGuire Nuclear Station ("McGuire") located in Mecklenburg County, North Carolina.

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.

A. I hold a B.S. in Mechanical Engineering from Clemson University and over 31 years of experience in the nuclear field with increasing responsibilities. I joined Duke Energy in 1987 as a field engineer at Oconee. During my time at Oconee, I served in a variety of leadership positions at the station, including

1 Senior Reactor Operator, Shift Technical Advisor, and Mechanical and Civil
2 Engineering Manager. In 2008, I transitioned to McGuire as the Engineering
3 Manager. I later became plant manager and was named Vice President of
4 McGuire in 2012. In December 2017, I was named Senior Vice President of
5 Nuclear Corporate for Duke with direct executive accountability for Duke
6 Energy's nuclear corporate functions, including nuclear corporate engineering,
7 nuclear major projects, corporate governance and operation support and
8 organizational effectiveness. I assumed my current role in October 2018.

9 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS**
10 **COMMISSION?**

11 A. Yes. I provided testimony in DE Carolinas' 2018 fuel and fuel-related cost
12 recovery proceeding in Docket No. 2018-3-E.

13 **Q. DOES YOUR TESTIMONY SUPPORT ALL GENERATION ASSETS**
14 **INCLUDED IN THE COMPANY'S GENERATION PORTFOLIO?**

15 A. No. My testimony focuses on the current operating nuclear assets.

16 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS**
17 **PROCEEDING?**

18 A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide information in support of the
19 Company's request for a base rate adjustment. To this end, I describe DE
20 Carolinas' nuclear generation assets; update the Commission on capital
21 additions since the prior rate case; provide a high-level view of capital
22 additions planned for the upcoming years; explain key drivers impacting
23 nuclear operations and maintenance ("O&M") costs; and provide operational

1 performance results for January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017 (the
2 “Test Period”).

3 **Q. WHAT ARE THE PRIMARY CAPITAL AND O&M DRIVERS WITHIN**
4 **THE NUCLEAR FLEET DRIVING THIS REQUEST?**

5 A. Capital investments have increased since the Company’s last rate case. In
6 particular, capital investments were made to comply with and address
7 regulatory requirements, including the Nuclear Regulatory Commission
8 (“NRC”) 10 CFR Part 73, “Physical Protection of Plants and Materials” and
9 National Fire Protection Association (“NFPA”) 805, “Performance-Based
10 Standard for Fire Protection.” Further, DE Carolinas incurred costs to address
11 end-of-life equipment and prudently manage aging systems via license
12 extensions, efficiency, and reliability improvements. The Company has also
13 incurred additional costs since the last rate case to meet requirements of the
14 NRC near-term priorities stemming from Fukushima¹, including EA-12-049,
15 “Order to Modify Licenses with regard to Requirements for Mitigation
16 Strategies for Beyond-Design-Basis External Events” and EA-12-051, “Order
17 Modifying Licenses with regard to Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation.”

18 Since the Company’s last rate case, non-fuel O&M expense has
19 declined slightly. DE Carolinas has managed O&M challenges driven
20 primarily from inflationary pressure on labor and materials. The Company
21 continues to make every effort to control costs and effectively maximize cost

¹ Industry reference to the March 2011 earthquake and tsunami in Japan, which resulted in damage to the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station.

efficiency. For example, the Company undertook an effort to more effectively utilize contingent workers supporting refueling outages resulting in a decrease in outage costs; deployed a data analytics tool to improve monitoring and tracking of worker deployment, tenure and release; and streamlined the in-processing activities at the centralized King's Mountain facility, achieving a notable reduction in the time required to process incoming workers. The Company continues to identify efficiencies in organizational structure and innovation. However, despite these aggressive and significant efforts, DE Carolinas continues to face new costs and inflationary pressures.

Q. HOW IS THE REMAINDER OF YOUR TESTIMONY ORGANIZED?

A. The remainder of my testimony is organized as follows:

II. NUCLEAR FLEET: Generation Capacity and Asset Descriptions

III. CAPITAL ADDITIONS: In-Service For This Proceeding

IV. FORWARD VIEW OF CAPITAL ADDITIONS

V. O&M AND OTHER ADJUSTMENTS

VI. NUCLEAR OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE: Metrics and Industry Benchmarking

VII. CONCLUSION

II. NUCLEAR FLEET

Q. PLEASE LIST DE CAROLINAS' NUCLEAR FLEET.

A. The Company's nuclear generation portfolio consists of 5,389 megawatts ("MWs") of power capacity made up as follows:

Oconee - 2,554 MWs

1 McGuire - 2,316 MWs

2 Catawba - 519 MWs ²

3 **Q. PLEASE GENERALLY DESCRIBE DE CAROLINAS' NUCLEAR**
4 **GENERATION ASSETS.**

5 A. The Company's nuclear fleet consists of three generating stations and a total
6 of seven units. Oconee began commercial operation in 1973 and was the first
7 nuclear station designed, built, and operated by DE Carolinas. It has the
8 distinction of being the second nuclear station in the country to have its
9 license, originally issued for 40 years, renewed for up to an additional 20
10 years by the NRC. The license renewal, which was obtained in 2000, extends
11 operations to 2033, 2033 and 2034 for Oconee Units 1, 2 and 3, respectively.
12 McGuire began commercial operation in 1981 and Catawba began
13 commercial operation in 1985. In 2003, the NRC renewed the licenses for
14 McGuire and Catawba for up to an additional 20 years each. This renewal
15 extends operations until 2041 for McGuire Unit 1, and 2043 for McGuire Unit
16 2 and Catawba Units 1 and 2. The Company jointly owns Catawba with
17 North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number One, North Carolina
18 Electric Membership Corporation and Piedmont Municipal Power Agency.

² Reflects DE Carolinas' 19.2 percent ownership of Catawba Nuclear Station.

1 **Q. WERE THERE ANY POWER UPRATES COMPLETED WITHIN DE**
2 **CAROLINAS' NUCLEAR PORTFOLIO SINCE THE LAST RATE**
3 **CASE?**

4 A. Yes. DE Carolinas' has completed Measurement Uncertainty Recapture
5 ("MUR") power uprates on McGuire Unit 1 and Catawba Unit 1 since the
6 Company's last rate case, Docket No. 2013-59-E (the "2013 Rate
7 Case"). The MUR uprates were achieved by modifications to feedwater flow
8 measurement instrumentation allowing more precise measurement of reactor
9 power, resulting in higher thermal output.

10 **III. CAPITAL ADDITIONS**

11 **Q. PLEASE PROVIDE ADDITIONAL DETAILS REGARDING MAJOR**
12 **CAPITAL PROJECTS FOR NUCLEAR BEING INCLUDED IN THIS**
13 **CASE.**

14 A. Since the 2013 Rate Case, DE Carolinas has invested approximately \$2.5
15 billion in capital projects. These capital improvements were required to
16 enhance safety, address regulatory requirements, improve efficiency and
17 output and preserve performance and reliability of the plants throughout their
18 extended life operations. Catawba and McGuire have completed initiatives
19 associated with main power open phase detection system upgrades to address
20 an industry event that occurred at Exelon's Byron Generating Station and
21 subsequent NRC bulletin 2012-01. The system will provide a fully redundant
22 open phase protection system, thus improving safety margins related to offsite
23 power. Also, Catawba and McGuire partnered on the design of multi-phase

1 projects to install emergency supplemental power source (“ESPS”) diesel
2 generators at both stations. The supplemental diesels provide increased safety
3 margins and allow additional time and schedule flexibility in maintaining the
4 station’s emergency onsite power system, allowing emergency diesel
5 generator (“EDG”) maintenance to be performed with the units on-line versus
6 during refueling outages. The supplemental diesels have been installed and
7 tie-ins are complete on McGuire Unit 2. Catawba tie-ins are scheduled during
8 the fall 2018 refueling outage. Projects supporting the transition to the risk-
9 based NFPA 805 fire protection program have been completed at all 3 DE
10 Carolinas stations, and license amendments are in place.

11 At Catawba, capital investments have been implemented to improve
12 safety margins, comply with regulatory requirements, improve reliability and
13 enhance efficiency and output. Since 2013, upgrades were completed to
14 address aging and obsolete security monitoring equipment. Upgrades in both
15 the Central and Secondary Alarm Stations were completed to ensure full
16 compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission’s (“NRC”) recent cyber
17 security requirements and to address obsolete and aging components. Capital
18 investments necessary for compliance with the NRC’s near-term requirements
19 related to Fukushima were also completed. Other reliability projects have
20 addressed degraded performance of the service water systems. These multi-
21 phase projects involved piping replacements, cleaning and coating of large
22 portions of the systems and installing new chemical treatment capability.
23 Significant phases of the service water projects have completed, but other

1 phases are scheduled to continue. Large motor replacements, including
2 reactor coolant pump, condensate pump and residual heat removal pump
3 motors have been completed addressing aging equipment issues and
4 improving station reliability. In a multi-phase project addressing reliability
5 and obsolescence, three of four diesel generator governors have been
6 replaced; the forth scheduled to be replaced in late 2018 during the fall
7 refueling outage. In May 2016, a MUR power uprate was implemented on
8 Unit 1.

9 Projects at McGuire were initiated to enhance safety margins, comply
10 with regulatory requirements, improve reliability, manage aging components
11 and increase efficiency and output. Safety enhancing and regulatory
12 compliance projects included the completion of the NRC's near-term post-
13 Fukushima mods, and modifications in response to new cyber security
14 requirements. Projects addressing reliability, obsolescence and aging
15 components have been completed since the last rate case, or in the case of
16 multi-phase projects, are nearing completion. Examples include reactor
17 coolant pump motor refurbishments, main step-up transformer replacements,
18 main steam isolation valve refurbishments and emergency diesel generator
19 voltage regulator replacements. Significant main generator work was also
20 completed, including stator and exciter replacements, and a MUR power
21 uprate was completed on Unit 1.

22 Since the 2013 Rate Case, DE Carolinas has continued modernization
23 projects at the Oconee station enhancing safety margins, regulatory margins,

1 and reliability. All near-term, post-Fukushima modification projects have
2 been completed, and the Company has completed additional flood mitigation
3 efforts associated with the Jocassee dam located approximately 12 miles
4 upstream of the Oconee station. The Company also completed phases of a
5 multi-phase project to enhance safety and regulatory compliance associated
6 with mitigation efforts related to tornados and high energy line breaks
7 (“HELB”). Key elements completed include the installation of a new
8 Protected Service Water (“PSW”) system and backup power sources to the
9 standby shutdown facility. The PSW system provides an assured water supply
10 to the steam generators for decay heat removal and power to a high-pressure
11 injection pump to supply primary coolant inventory. Zinc injection systems
12 have been installed and are operational on all three Oconee units. This system
13 injects zinc into the reactor coolant system displacing nickel and cobalt,
14 reducing both corrosion and radiation exposure to workers. With the
15 installation at Oconee, all three DE Carolinas stations now have this
16 technology deployed. Other modifications include projects such as step-up
17 transformer replacements, reactor coolant pump and motor replacements,
18 power circuit breakers and the installation of a new enhanced condenser tube
19 cleaning system.

1 **Q. ARE THE CAPITAL ADDITIONS AND ENHANCEMENTS YOU**
2 **HAVE DESCRIBED IN YOUR TESTIMONY USED AND USEFUL IN**
3 **PROVIDING ELECTRIC SERVICE TO DE CAROLINAS' ELECTRIC**
4 **CUSTOMERS IN SOUTH CAROLINA?**

5 A. Yes. These capital additions and enhancements are used and useful in safely
6 and efficiently providing reliable electric service to DE Carolinas' customers.
7 As a result of the Company's successful efforts to renew the licenses,
8 refurbish obsolete equipment and systems and enhance safety margins in
9 compliance with new NRC requirements, customers will continue to benefit
10 from the power provided by this reliable, efficient, cost-effective and
11 greenhouse gas emissions-free 24/7 power source of energy for many years to
12 come. These investments have positioned the Company to maintain high
13 levels of operational safety, efficiency and reliability that is reflected in the
14 nuclear performance results I discuss later in my testimony.

15 **Q. HAS DE CAROLINAS ATTEMPTED TO CONTROL COSTS FOR**
16 **CAPITAL ADDITIONS AND O&M?**

17 A. Yes. TheCompany controls costs for capital projects and O&M using a
18 rigorous cost management program. For example, the Company routinely
19 conducts executive oversight of project budget and activity reporting, with
20 new projects requiring approval by progressively higher levels of management
21 depending on total project cost. The Company also controls ongoing capital
22 and O&M costs through strategic planning and procurement, efficient
23 oversight of contractors by a trained and experienced workforce, rigorous

1 monitoring of work quality, thorough critiques to drive out process
2 improvement and industry benchmarking to ensure best practices are being
3 utilized. In December 2015, the U.S. nuclear industry launched a multi-year
4 initiative entitled “Delivering the Nuclear Promise,” to enable U.S. nuclear
5 power plants to strengthen safety, increase efficiency, and reduce cost. As a
6 result of this initiative, the Company has fully engaged with industry peers to
7 identify and implement opportunities. However, despite these considerable
8 efforts, DE Carolinas continues to face inflationary pressures as I have
9 described.

10 **IV. FORWARD VIEW OF CAPITAL ADDITIONS**

11 **Q. WHAT TYPES OF PROJECTS ARE IN THE CAPITAL BUDGET FOR**
12 **NUCLEAR OPERATIONS FOR THE NEAR FUTURE?**

13 A. In order to continue enhancing safety, equipment reliability, performance and
14 to address aging equipment and regulatory requirements, DE Carolinas plans
15 to invest approximately \$594 million in its nuclear fleet during the period
16 2019-2021.

17 At Catawba, the multi-year emergency diesel generator (“EDG”)
18 reliability initiatives are scheduled to be complete with voltage regulator
19 replacements concluding in 2020. The final phase of the ESPS project is
20 currently scheduled for completion in 2019. To address stress corrosion
21 cracking issues, low pressure turbine replacements have been scheduled, with
22 Unit 1 slated for replacement in 2020 followed by Unit 2 in 2021.

1 At McGuire, main step-up transformer replacements will continue and
2 are scheduled to be completed in 2019. The multi-phase ESPS diesel project
3 is scheduled to complete in 2019 with the tie-in to Unit 1. Distributed control
4 system upgrades, which will address obsolescence and reliability, are
5 scheduled through 2020. Catawba and McGuire are jointly developing the
6 upgrade design for both stations.

7 Oconee has regulatory and reliability projects scheduled out through
8 2021. For example, the replacement of feedwater heaters should be complete
9 in 2020. This project replaces original equipment heaters containing carbon
10 steel tubes that have been subject to failure, with new heaters containing
11 upgraded stainless steel tubes. Remaining main power relay upgrades are also
12 scheduled to complete by 2020. The new relays include protective functions
13 that are not provided by the existing relaying equipment. Similar to the
14 projects recently completed at Catawba and McGuire, Oconee is scheduled to
15 complete the open phase detection system upgrades during 2019, enhancing
16 the safety of offsite power. Low pressure turbines, diaphragms and associated
17 components will be replaced to improve equipment reliability, eliminate stress
18 corrosion cracking and recover lost efficiencies due to degradation. Open
19 regulatory issues related to the Standby Shutdown Facility (“SSF”) are
20 expected to conclude by 2020. The open issue involves the SSF’s thermal
21 margin. The analysis is complete and a license amendment request was
22 submitted to the NRC in October 2017. Receipt of license amendments is
23 anticipated in spring 2020 along with completion of all associated

1 modifications. The final phases of the Keowee Hydroelectric Units (“KHUs”)
2 reliability upgrades are scheduled to complete by 2020 with stator
3 replacements scheduled for KHU1 in 2019 followed by KHU2 in 2020. The
4 completion of this multi-phase project will ensure that the Keowee Hydro
5 units can continue to reliably supply emergency backup power to the Oconee
6 station. Finally, Oconee will continue to upgrade its power circuit breakers in
7 the Switchyard through 2020.

8 **V. O&M AND OTHER ADJUSTMENTS**

9 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE SIGNIFICANT COST DRIVERS IMPACTING**
10 **O&M EXPENSES FOR DE CAROLINAS’ NUCLEAR FLEET.**

11 A. During the Test Period, approximately 35 percent of the required O&M
12 expenditures for DE Carolinas’ nuclear fleet were fuel-related. A complete
13 discussion of nuclear fuel costs can be found in Witness Church’s testimony
14 filed with this Commission on July 28, 2018 in the Company’s annual fuel
15 proceeding in Docket No. 2018-3-E. In his testimony, Witness Church noted
16 that the Company anticipates costs of certain components of nuclear fuel to
17 reflect modest decreases in future years. Nuclear fuel costs on a cents per
18 kilowatt-hour (“kWh”) basis will continue to be a fraction of the cents per
19 kWh of fossil fuel. Therefore, customers will continue to benefit from the
20 Company’s diverse energy mix and the strong performance of its nuclear fleet
21 through lower fuel costs.

22 Non-fuel items comprise the remainder of O&M expenditures for the
23 nuclear fleet. Nuclear power plant operations are labor intensive and

1 therefore, a significant portion of O&M expenses are related to internal and
2 contracted labor. The Company continues to face upward pressure on these
3 ongoing labor costs and other challenges have occurred with rising costs for
4 materials and supplies.

5 **Q. WHAT EXAMPLES CAN YOU PROVIDE RELATED TO THE**
6 **COMPANY’S EFFORTS TO CONTROL O&M COSTS AS NOTED**
7 **ABOVE?**

8 A. The Company has many efforts in place for controlling and/or saving costs.
9 An area of focus in recent years has been outage optimization, focusing on
10 duration, budget, dose and production. This approach applies strict controls to
11 reduce outage durations, aligns typical maintenance work within duration
12 templates, allocates costs based on duration templates, improves alignment of
13 bulk work to minimize schedule impacts, and targets dose to the five-year
14 ALARA³ plan. Benefits of the outage optimization efforts have been
15 demonstrated by record outage performance and durations at both McGuire
16 and Oconee since 2015.

17 Further, the Company has been recognized by the Nuclear Energy
18 Institute (“NEI”) multiple times with Top Industry Practice (“TIP”) awards.
19 In 2015, the Company’s Excellence in Cost Management program received an
20 award for Vision, Leadership and Ingenuity. This program was developed and
21 designed in response to the competitive economic pressures facing nuclear
22 plants nationwide. The goal is to enhance sustainability in cost savings along

³ Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR 20.1003) acronym for “as low as (is) reasonably achievable.”

1 with fleet performance. The recognition took note that Duke Energy saved
2 more than \$35 million in 2014 while still increasing worker safety, innovation
3 and employee engagement. The program's objective will continue to drive
4 sustainable results. Additionally, in 2017, the Company's nuclear
5 procurement engineering organization won a TIP award when they developed
6 the Procurement Engineering Prioritization, Reporting, and Obsolescence
7 ("PE PRO") application. The organization also developed a Quality Receipt
8 Inspector ("QRI") application. Both applications were implemented fleet-
9 wide in March 2016, facilitating the prioritization and tracking of real-time
10 priorities requiring support of the Fleet Procurement Engineering and Quality
11 Receipt Inspector organizations. These applications increase nuclear safety by
12 ensuring Procurement Engineering and Quality Receipt activities are correctly
13 prioritized to support critical work activities and schedules.

14 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE NRC REQUIREMENTS COMMUNICATED**
15 **TO DATE WITH RESPECT TO FUKUSHIMA.**

16 A. The NRC established regulatory requirements for the nation's operating
17 reactors to address actions prioritized as "Tier One" by the NRC based upon
18 its "Near-Term Task Force Review of Insights from the Fukushima Daiichi
19 Accident." Specifically, on March 12, 2012, the NRC issued three reactor
20 licensee orders⁴ and a multifaceted letter request for information and actions

⁴ See EA-12-049, "Order to Modify Licenses with regard to Requirements for Mitigation Strategies for Beyond-Design-Basis External Events;" EA-12-050, "Order to Modify Licenses with regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents;" and EA-12-051, "Order Modifying Licenses with regard to Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation."

1 under 10 CFR 50.54(f). The orders, effective immediately, require the
2 Company to implement safety enhancements related to (1) mitigation
3 strategies to respond to extreme natural events resulting in the loss of power at
4 plants and (2) enhancing spent fuel pool instrumentation.

5 The 10 CFR 50.54(f) letter requires (i) a re-evaluation of seismic
6 hazards and associated risks and description of any resulting mitigation
7 actions, (ii) plant walk downs to assess seismic vulnerabilities, (iii) a flood
8 hazard re-evaluation and description of any resulting mitigation actions, (iv)
9 flood protection walk downs to assess flooding vulnerabilities, (v) an
10 assessment of emergency communications equipment, and (vi) an assessment
11 of the adequacy of plant staffing to address large scale natural events. DE
12 Carolinas, along with the other nuclear power reactor licensees, was required
13 to promptly begin implementation of the safety enhancements and complete
14 implementation within two refueling outages or by December 31, 2016,
15 whichever occurred first. Since the Company's last rate case, the NRC has
16 initiated rulemaking proceedings related to enhanced requirements for coping
17 with station blackout and integration of on-site emergency response
18 capabilities. Additional NRC instructions/orders are expected to continue to
19 be issued over the next three years in conjunction with these rulemaking
20 proceedings.

1 **Q. WHAT IS THE COMPANY’S CURRENT STATUS WITH RESPECT**
2 **TO COMPLIANCE WITH THE NRC REQUIREMENTS RELATED**
3 **TO FUKUSHIMA?**

4 A. DE Carolinas promptly engaged in efforts to address the requirements with
5 designing and implementing multiple diverse and flexible (“FLEX”) coping
6 strategies to address issues such as the loss of emergency power and
7 temporary physical isolation of the site, which is a key focus of the near-term
8 efforts. The Company also installed reliable instrumentation at each nuclear
9 site to monitor spent fuel pool water levels and effectively prioritize any
10 emergency activities that may be required. As of April 2016, all seven DE
11 Carolina units have completed implementation of the FLEX and spent fuel
12 pool level instrumentation orders. Also, the Company has made solid
13 progress on completing the remaining Tier 1 work, which includes analyses to
14 better understand how natural phenomena events such as earthquakes and
15 flooding could impact our plants. Tier 1 efforts are currently expected to
16 complete by December 2020.

17 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE NRC REQUIREMENTS COMMUNICATED**
18 **TO DATE WITH RESPECT TO CYBER SECURITY.**

19 A. In 2009, the NRC published regulations⁵ requiring licensees to protect digital
20 assets associated with, and important to, safety, security and emergency
21 preparedness functions. The NEI worked with the NRC and industry
22 representatives (including Duke Energy) to develop NEI 08-09, “Cyber

⁵ 10 CFR 73.54, “Protection of digital computer and communication systems and networks.”

1 Security Plan for Nuclear Power Reactors,” which was endorsed by the NRC
2 in early 2010 as an acceptable means of meeting the requirements. NEI 08-09
3 utilizes cyber security controls from the National Institute of Standards and
4 Technology standards,⁶ which are heavily used throughout the U.S.
5 government.

6 **Q. WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE COMPANY’S EFFORTS TO MEET**
7 **THE NRC REQUIREMENTS COMMUNICATED TO DATE WITH**
8 **RESPECT TO CYBER SECURITY?**

9 A. DE Carolinas submitted its Cyber Security Plan and implementation schedule
10 to the NRC and has received NRC approval. The activities outlined by the
11 Company within its proposed Cyber Security Plan include examining current
12 practices, developing cyber security program processes, reviewing critical
13 digital assets, performing validation testing, and implementing new
14 controls. The Company’s necessary efforts to meet the NRC’s cyber security
15 requirements will increase its O&M expense long-term with efforts such as
16 labor and maintenance. The Company has completed the necessary actions
17 for implementation of the NRC requirements.

⁶ SP 800-53, “Recommended Security Controls for Federal Information Systems,” Revision 2 and SP 800-82, “Guide to Industrial Control Systems (ICS) Security,” Final Public Draft, September 2008.

1 **Q. ARE THERE CURRENT ISSUES IN THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY**
2 **THAT MAY FURTHER IMPACT COSTS FOR CAPITAL AND/OR**
3 **O&M?**

4 A. Yes. The Environmental Protection Agency has been developing new and/or
5 stricter regulations regarding, among other things, water intake and cooling
6 functions, which could result in significant impacts to the operational
7 requirements of the Company's nuclear fleet. Although unlikely, there could
8 be additional post-Fukushima, seismic related modification requirements at
9 Oconee. Finally, security and cyber defense requirements could evolve if new
10 threats are identified. These key areas of focus could result in added and
11 perhaps significant capital and/or O&M costs.

12 **VI. NUCLEAR OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE**

13 **Q. WHAT ARE DE CAROLINAS' OBJECTIVES IN THE OPERATION**
14 **OF ITS NUCLEAR GENERATION ASSETS?**

15 A. The primary objective of DE Carolinas' nuclear generation department is to
16 safely provide reliable and cost-effective energy to DE Carolinas' customers.
17 The Company achieves this objective by focusing on a number of key areas.
18 Operations personnel and other station employees are well trained and execute
19 their responsibilities to the highest standards in accordance with detailed
20 procedures. The Company maintains station equipment and systems reliably,
21 and ensures timely implementation of work plans and projects that enhance
22 the performance of systems, equipment, and personnel. Station refueling and
23 maintenance outages are conducted through the execution of well-planned,

1 well-executed and high quality work activities, which effectively ready the
2 plant for operation until the next planned outage.

3 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE PERFORMANCE OF THE COMPANY'S**
4 **NUCLEAR FLEET DURING THE TEST PERIOD.**

5 A. As in years past, DE Carolinas' nuclear fleet continued to perform well.
6 During the Test Period, the Company achieved a combined capacity factor of
7 95.87%. Each of the 3 plants exceeded a 92.5% capacity factor, and the
8 Company recorded the 2nd highest net annual output, falling just short of the
9 generation record established in 2016. Sixty-two percent of the Company's
10 total power generated during 2017 was from the nuclear fleet, and 2017
11 represented the 18th consecutive year of DE Carolinas' nuclear plants
12 exceeding a 90 percent annual capacity factor. This output above 90 percent
13 has resulted in approximately 36 million MWs of additional generation, or 7.5
14 months of output, over the 18-year period. These performance results
15 demonstrate DE Carolinas' continued commitment to achieving high
16 performance and reliability without compromising safety.

17 **Q. WHAT INITIATIVES HAS THE COMPANY TAKEN TO INCREASE**
18 **EFFICIENCIES IN NUCLEAR OPERATIONS?**

19 A. The Company uses benchmarking, long-range planning, work prioritization
20 tools and other processes to continuously improve operational and cost
21 performance. Over the years, the Company has gained efficiencies from the
22 implementation of common policies, practices, and procedures across the
23 Duke Energy nuclear fleet. In addition, efficiencies are sought by

1 incorporating industry best practices. Since the merger, the Company
2 continues to remain focused on improving fleet performance in various areas,
3 and a focus on organizational effectiveness allows the Company to continue to
4 identify and address work improvements. The goals are to align operations at
5 a fleet level and take advantage of shared experiences and process
6 improvement opportunities. Results of the Company's efforts have been
7 demonstrated by successive output records and unit outage performance.
8 Overall, improvement efforts result in enhanced fleet reliability and efficiency
9 on a cost per kWh basis.

10 **Q. WHAT CHALLENGES DOES DE CAROLINAS FACE REGARDING**
11 **ITS NUCLEAR OPERATIONS?**

12 A. Despite the success of the Company's efficiency initiatives to mitigate cost
13 increases, DE Carolinas continues to face upward pressure on O&M costs. A
14 significant challenge facing the nuclear industry is the cost and technological
15 requirements to maintain the existing U.S. nuclear fleet at the highest levels of
16 safety and reliability, while also maintaining economic viability and ensuring
17 these plants continue to provide emission-free energy in the future. Therefore,
18 maintaining the Company's nuclear assets is critical to achieving significant
19 reductions to current and future levels of greenhouse gas emissions, and
20 ensuring the diversity of energy supply for our customers.

1 **Q. HOW DOES THE COMPANY’S NUCLEAR FLEET COMPARE TO**
2 **OTHERS IN THE INDUSTRY?**

3 A. The Company’s nuclear fleet has a history of top quartile performance.
4 Industry data for 2017 ranked Duke Energy’s nuclear fleet favorably when
5 compared to the seven other large domestic nuclear fleets using Key
6 Performance Indicators (“KPIs”) in the areas of personal safety, radiological
7 dose, manual and automatic shutdowns, capacity factor, forced loss rate,
8 industry performance index, and total operating cost. The Duke fleet ranked
9 first in the overall composite ranking of the 7 industry key performance
10 metrics, and placed in first position in total operating cost and second place in
11 annual capacity factor. On a larger industry basis using data for 2017 from the
12 Electric Utility Cost Group, DE Carolinas’ plants (Catawba, McGuire, and
13 Oconee) all ranked in the top quartile in total operating cost among the 60
14 U.S. nuclear plants reporting. Industry benchmarking efforts are a principal
15 technique used by the Company to ensure best practices are implemented and
16 results are sustained. These efforts further ensure overall safety, efficiency, and
17 reliability of DE Carolinas’ nuclear units.

18 **Q. ARE THERE CURRENT ISSUES IN THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY**
19 **THAT MAY FURTHER IMPACT COSTS FOR CAPITAL AND/OR**
20 **O&M?**

21 A. Yes. Additional requirements related to Fukushima are possible as the NRC’s
22 review efforts are on-going. Additionally, the Environmental Protection
23 Agency (the “EPA”) has been developing new and/or stricter regulations

1 regarding, among other things, water intake and cooling functions, which
2 could result in significant impacts on the operational requirements of the
3 Company's nuclear fleet. These key areas of focus could result in added and
4 perhaps significant capital and/or O&M costs.

5 **II. CONCLUSION**

6 Q. IS THERE ANYTHING YOU WOULD LIKE TO SAY IN CLOSING?

7 A. Yes. The Company has a proven history of cost competitive operation of its
8 nuclear assets concurrent with maintaining safety, quality, and reliability. DE
9 Carolinas is positioned to continue as a leader in the industry with a solid base
10 of knowledge and experience, and with a nuclear fleet that is highly efficient
11 and reliable. This base rate increase will allow the Company to continue the
12 tradition of operational excellence and focus on safe operations, reliable
13 generation, and strong performance that ultimately benefits our customers.

14 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

15 A. Yes.